Statement of Heritage Impact

32 Trevenar Street Ashbury

for

urbanance pty ltd



The site (left)) From east on Trevenar Street

Prepared by:

Archnex Designs

Wentech Pty Ltd (ABN 310 735 41803) trading as Archnex Designs.

July 2023

Email: archnex@bigpond.net.au

14 Winchcombe Ave, Haberfield N S W 2045

32 Trevenar Street Ashbury Statement of Heritage Impact Table of Contents

Statement:

A. Purpose of Statement Page 1. B. Grounds of Statement Page 1. Page 1. C. Limits of Statement D. Location Page 1. Page 2. Е. Context F. Proposed Development Page 4. Heritage Impacts Page 5. G. H. Conclusion Page 12.

Appendix:

Documents

- (i) DP12677
- (ii) DP566982
- (iii) CT 13719-180
- (iv) CTRH 2/566982
- (v) External Materials, Finishes & Colours

Archnex Designs Wentech Pty Ltd (ABN 310 735 41803) trading as Archnex Designs.

Heritage Building Consultants, Interior Designers

STATEMENT OF HERITAGE IMPACT [SoHI]:

Start Date:	20 June 2023		
Premises:	32 Trevenar St Ashbury		
Property Description:	Lot 2, DP 566992		
Prepared By:	Greg Patch B Sc Arch, B ARCH (Hons), M Herit Cons (Hons), 14 Winchcombe Ave, Haberfield NSW 2045		

For: urbanance pty ltd

A. PURPOSE OF STATEMENT

This statement has been prepared to assess potential heritage impacts of a proposed new house development on the above property.

B. GROUNDS OF STATEMENT

32 Trevenar St is within the Ashbury Heritage Conservation Area [HCA]. This has been established through examination of Map HER_ 006 of Canterbury LEP 2012.

C. LIMITS OF STATEMENT

This statement is based on the material at Part E and an inspection of the place in June 2023.

D. LOCATION



1. Location of 32 Trevenar St Ashbury (Source: SIX Maps © NSW Lands 2023).

E. CONTEXT.

E1. DOCUMENTARY

Land Titles

The subject property was first delineated on Deposited Plan [DP] 566992 which was prepared by surveyor Percy John Copp of the Sydney County Council in October 1973 in relation to the resumption of Lot 1:



2. Extract of diagram to Sheet 2 of DP 566992. Subject property. Lot 1.

Certificate of Title 13719-180 was issued to the New South Wales Planning and Environment Commission on 1-10-1978. It was cancelled in 1988 and converted to Computer Folio 2-566982 in August 1988.

There has been 2 transfers since (1993 and 1998).

E2. PHYSICAL

The place was inspected 20 June 2023, when the following photographs were taken:



3. Houses to King St to the south of the subject property.



4. Houses/ pedestrian crossing near roundabout.



5. House to corner of Trevenar & King Street,



7. Subject site frontage from roundabout.



9. South-east corner of subject site.



11. South-west corner of subject site.



6. Roundabout King/Trevenar Sts intersection.



8. Shops to the south-west of roundabout



10. North-east corner of subject site.



12. Brush box scarring.



13. Brush box from street.





14. Trevenar St entrance gates to Lot 1 DP 566992.

15. View down Trevenar St to roundabout/ shops.

F. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

I have examined drawings N^{os} .2023-111/0 to -111/10 (incl.) prepared by urbanance pty ltd [UPL] and dated 20/04/2023 (Issue f).

They depict a proposed house development to the subject property:





18. Upper Floor Plan (UPL dwg. C5 [NTS])



14. Trevenar St Elevation (UPL dwg. C6 [NTS])



17. Elevation to King St (UPL dwg. C6[NTS])

See Appendix for proposed external materials, finishes and colours (UPL dwg, C10)

G. IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The Ashbury HCA is listed at:

Canterbury- Bankstown Local Environmental Plan 2023

Schedule 5 Environmental heritage

Part 2 Heritage conservation areas

Heritage conservation area name

Identification on Heritage Map

Significance

Ashbury Heritage Conservation Area

Shown by red hatching and labelled "C1" Local

It is mapped (in part) as:



18. Extract Map HER_006 Subject property.

The relevant provisions of Canterbury LEP (CLEP) are:

5.10 Heritage conservation

Note. Heritage items (if any) are listed and described in Schedule 5. Heritage conservation areas (if any) are shown on the <u>Heritage Map</u> as well as being described in Schedule 5.

Clause

(1) **Objectives**

Comment

The objectives of this clause are as follows:

- (a) to conserve the environmental heritage of Canterbury,
- (b) to conserve the heritage significance of heritage items and heritage conservation areas, including associated fabric, settings and views,
- (c) to conserve archaeological sites,
- *(d) to conserve Aboriginal objects and Aboriginal places of heritage significance.*

(2) Requirement for consent

Development consent is required for any of the following:

- (a) demolishing or moving any of the following or altering the exterior of any of the following (including, in the case of a building, making changes to its detail, fabric, finish or appearance):
 - *(i) a heritage item,*

- (ii) an Aboriginal object,
- (iii) a building, work, relic or tree within a heritage conservation area,
- (b) altering a heritage item that is a building by making structural changes to its interior or by making changes to anything inside the item that is specified in Schedule 5 in relation to the item,
- (c) disturbing or excavating an archaeological site while knowing, or having reasonable cause to suspect, that the disturbance or excavation will or is likely to result in a relic being discovered, exposed, moved, damaged or destroyed,
- (d) disturbing or excavating an Aboriginal place of heritage significance,
- (e) erecting a building on land:
 - (i) on which a heritage item is located or that is within a heritage conservation area, or
 - (ii) on which an Aboriginal object is located or that is within an Aboriginal place of heritage significance,
- (f) subdividing land:
 - (i) on which a heritage item is located or that is within a heritage conservation area, or
 - (ii) on which an Aboriginal object is located or that is within an Aboriginal place of heritage significance.

(3) When consent not required

However, development consent under this clause is not Consent is required. required if:

- (a) the applicant has notified the consent authority of the proposed development and the consent authority has advised the applicant in writing before any work is carried out that it is satisfied that the proposed development:
 - (i) is of a minor nature or is for the maintenance of the heritage item, Aboriginal object, Aboriginal place of heritage significance or archaeological site or a building, work, relic, tree or place within the heritage conservation area, and
 - (ii) would not adversely affect the heritage significance of the heritage item, Aboriginal object, Aboriginal place, archaeological site or heritage conservation area, or
- (b) the development is in a cemetery or burial ground and the proposed development:
 - (i) is the creation of a new grave or monument, or excavation or disturbance of land for the purpose

The proposed development entails erecting a building within an HCA.

of conserving or repairing monuments or grave markers, and

- (ii) would not cause disturbance to human remains, relics, Aboriginal objects in the form of grave goods, or to an Aboriginal place of heritage significance, or
- (c) the development is limited to the removal of a tree or other vegetation that the Council is satisfied is a risk to human life or property, or
- (d) the development is exempt development.

(4) Effect of proposed development on heritage significance

The consent authority must, before granting consent under this clause in respect of a heritage item or heritage conservation area, consider the effect of the proposed development on the heritage significance of the item or area concerned. This subclause applies regardless of whether a heritage management document is prepared under subclause (5) or a heritage conservation management plan is submitted under subclause (6). The significance of the Ashbury HCA is stated as:

Ashbury is a relatively intact example of a residential area characterised by predominantly Inter-War Californian Bungalows, late Inter-War dwellings and late Federation houses, which was the result of the successive subdivision of the Ashbury Estate in phases between 1913 and 1929. The phased subdivision of the estate has resulted in dwellings belonging to each of the abovementioned types appearing in consistent groupings according to when different parts of the Estate were subdivided and sold. The remarkable uniformity of the eastern portion of Ashbury (particularly First and Second Streets) is largely due to the high proportion of houses built by a small number of speculative builders between 1915 and 1920. Overall, the predominant characteristics of the area are single storey dwellings on small to medium allotments, with established landscaping and street tree plantings, and consistent use of traditional materials such as face brick, terracotta tiled roofing, and timber framed windows and doors. The area contains sections of potential archaeological significance including Roslvn Street which roughly follows the path of an old Aboriginal track which ran west from Long Cove Creek via Salt Pan Creek to Georges River, and the section to the east of King Street where the original Canterbury Farm buildings were located in the vicinity of today's Third Street. There is also archaeological potential for 'Canterbury House' which was located on the centre of the block defined by Forbes and Leopold Streets south of St Xavier's Church and School. Two of five brickworks, which were in operation in the early 20th century in the Canterbury and Ashfield area, Ashfield Brickworks and South Ashfield Brickworks, where the present day W H Wagener Oval and Peace Park are located respectively, were located in or near the conservation area.

The proposed development will have no substantive impact on the stated significance.

The consent authority may, before granting consent to any development:

- (a) on land on which a heritage item is located, or
- (b) on land that is within a heritage conservation area, or
- (c) on land that is within the vicinity of land referred to in paragraph (a) or (b),

require a heritage management document to be prepared that assesses the extent to which the carrying out of the proposed development would affect the heritage significance of the heritage item or heritage conservation area concerned.

(6) Heritage conservation management plans

The consent authority may require, after considering the heritage significance of a heritage item and the extent of change proposed to it, the submission of a heritage conservation management plan before granting consent under this clause.

(7) Archaeological sites

The consent authority must, before granting consent under this clause to the carrying out of development on an archaeological site (other than land listed on the State Heritage Register or to which an interim heritage order under the <u>Heritage Act 1977</u> applies):

- (a) notify the Heritage Council of its intention to grant consent, and
- *(b)* take into consideration any response received from the Heritage Council within 28 days after the notice is sent.

(8) Aboriginal places of heritage significance

The consent authority must, before granting consent under this clause to the carrying out of development in an Aboriginal place of heritage significance:

- (a) consider the effect of the proposed development on the heritage significance of the place and any Aboriginal object known or reasonably likely to be located at the place by means of an adequate investigation and assessment (which may involve consideration of a heritage impact statement), and
- (b) notify the local Aboriginal communities, in writing or in such other manner as may be appropriate, about the application and take into consideration any response received within 28 days after the notice is sent.

(9) Demolition of nominated State heritage items

The consent authority must, before granting consent under this clause for the demolition of a nominated State heritage item:

- (a) notify the Heritage Council about the application, and
- (b) take into consideration any response received from the Heritage Council within 28 days after the notice is sent.

(10) Conservation incentives

The development is to land that is within an HCA.

This document has been prepared having general regard to the guideline document "Statements of Heritage Impact" as published by HeritageNSW.

The preparation of a Conservation Management Plan is not warranted by the nature of the proposed development.

The subject place is not identified as being of archaeological significance.

The subject place is not identified as being of Aboriginal significance.

The subject place is not identified as being of State significance.

The consent authority may grant consent to development for any purpose of a building that is a heritage item or of the land on which such a building is erected, or for any purpose on an Aboriginal place of heritage significance, even though development for that purpose would otherwise not be allowed by this Plan, if the consent authority is satisfied that:

- (a) the conservation of the heritage item or Aboriginal place of heritage significance is facilitated by the granting of consent, and
- (b) the proposed development is in accordance with a heritage management document that has been approved by the consent authority, and
- (c) the consent to the proposed development would require that all necessary conservation work identified in the heritage management document is carried out, and
- (d) the proposed development would not adversely affect the heritage significance of the heritage item, including its setting, or the heritage significance of the Aboriginal place of heritage significance, and
- (e) the proposed development would not have any significant adverse effect on the amenity of the surrounding area.

Canterbury- Bankstown Development Control Plan 2023

SECTION 21–Specific Controls For The Ashbury Heritage Conservation Area

Objectives

01 To ensure that new dwellings and additions to existing dwellings are compatible in scale with nearby characteristic dwellings as well as the immediate properties.

02 To ensure that the scale of buildings relates to the topography and requires minimal cut and fill.

03 To minimise the visual impact of driveway crossings.

04 To maintain the existing mature, well established trees and characteristic plantings.

05 To maintain the pattern of mid-block plantings.

Development controls

Storey limit

21.1 A maximum building height of two storeys applies to all buildings for residential zoned properties.

Comment: the proposal is a two-storey building of similar scale to the adjoining existing residence and the commercial buildings to the

22.2 The height and bulk of first floor additions is to be minimised.

Comment: not applicable- the proposal is for a new two-storey house.

22.3 On sites where the land slopes downhill away from the street, use the slope of the land and place floors at a lower level to minimise building bulk.

Comment: not applicable- the site is relatively flat/

22.4 The maximum height of fill is 300mm above existing ground level, at any point.

Comment: the drawings indicate that no fill is proposed.

Comment: the proposal is of slab-on-ground construction.

Setbacks

22.6 In the street elevation of new dwellings, a minimum of 50% of the building is to be built to the predominant building line, and the remainder of the dwelling may be behind the predominant building line. If a street has no predominant building line, build to a building line established by nearby buildings.

Comment: there is no established building line within the vicinity of the subject site on the south side of Trevenar street. The proposal adopts a similar front setback to the houses on King Street.

22.7 On streets with a staggered building alignment, the streetscape pattern is to be reinforced by maintaining the typical angle and distance from the front boundary.

Comment: there is no established building alignment on the relevant portion of Trevenar Street.

22.8 The front facade is to be oriented towards the street boundary.

Comment: it is.

22.9 Any additions are to be located on or behind the predominant building line.

Comment: not applicable- the proposal is a new house.

Building expression and streetscape

22.10 New buildings should reflect the consistent horizontal lines of elements of houses along the street, such as: (a) ground level;

(b) base course – the architectural expression of the base of the house, often in different materials or finishes such as rendered brick or roughcast stone;

(c) veranda and balustrade heights;

(d) window sill and head heights;

(e) door heights;

(f) eave lines; and

- (g) ridgelines.
- Comment: There are no houses along the portion of Trevenar St in which the site is located to provide relevant reference elements. The drawings reproduced at p.5, above indicate that the proposed house is to have a differentiation of brick types in the façade and an expressed base to the ground floor.

22.11 Facades are to be horizontal in proportions and asymmetrical, and use vertical proportions for features such as windows.

Comment: the proposed façade are in accord with this recommendation.

22.12 Provide a break in long side walls and roofs.

Comment: the proposed house is of relatively short dimension in depth owing to the nature of the site.

22.13 Alterations and additions to the existing building are to maintain the appearance of a single storey house from the street.

Comment: the proposal is a new house.

- 22.14 The design of facades is to pay particular attention to the:
- (a) mass, the arrangement and articulation of the various elements and parts of the building;
- (b) roof form and pitch; and
- (c) the use of architectural elements such as bay windows, porches, verandas and balconies.

Comment: the proposed façades are well articulated, with an appropriate configuration of fenestration and elements such as the garage portals

Driveways, garages and carports

22.15 A maximum width for driveways is 2.7m at the allotment boundary.

Comment: the proposed driveway is 6.43m wide and is to the east allotment boundary. There are no driveways to the portion of Trevenar Street where the site is located to provided reference.

Fences

22.16 Timber fences are preferred for side fences facing streets.

Comment: the existing side fences are triple rail timber lapped and capped fences.

22.17 Front garden walls and fences on the street boundary are to be no higher than 1.2m.

Comment: the proposed front fences are of brick base surmounted by a vertical flat bar metal palisade of overall height of 1.2 metres

22.18 On corner sites where the facade of the dwelling presents to two street frontages, fencing is to be no higher than 1.2m for the front yard area on both frontages.

Comment: the site has but one frontage to Trevenar Street.

Landscape

22.19 A minimum 35% of the site area, at natural ground level, is to be maintained for open space.

Comment: the open space area is

22.20 A minimum 25% of the site area is to be maintained as soft landscaping.

Comment: the landscape area is indicated as being $152.03m^2$ with the site area being $439.5m^2 = 34.6\%$

22.21 All front setbacks are to consist predominantly of soft landscaping. The only paved areas in the front setback are the driveway and pathways to and around the house.

Comment: the proposal is in accordance with this guideline.

H. CONCLUSION

Historical research has revealed that the subject property came into being with the subdivision of a portion of Peace Park by Sydney County Council for resumption in c.1973 and has remained substantially vacant since.

The proposed development is appropriate to what is an area of atypical character within the broader context of the Ashbury HCA, both in terms of its genesis and physical manifestation.

In my opinion, there will be no adverse effects of the proposal on the character of the Ashbury HCA and it is supportable in terms of potential heritage impacts.

Prepared by

lemmater

Greg Patch Heritage Consultant

Appendix: Documents

Req:R314305 /Doc:DP 0012677 P /Rev:19-Feb-2019 /NSW LRS /Prt:10-Aug-2021 08:47 /Seq:1 of 4 © Office of the Registrar-General /Src:GlobalX /Ref:archnex



Bulator

carrison

Req:R314305 /Doc:DP 0012677 P /Rev:19-Feb-2019 /NSW LRS /Prt:10-Aug-2021 08:47 /Seq:2 of 4 © Office of the Registrar-General /Src:GlobalX /Ref:archnex





N









FIRST SCHEDULE

NEW SOUTH WALES PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMISSION.

GRY

EA

CV

RG 2/62

SECOND SCHEDULE

 Reservations and conditions, if any, contained in the Crown Grant above referred to.
B94953? Easement (as more fully set out therein) appurtement to the land above described affecting_ Lots 1 to 46 inclusive in Deposited Plan 12677.

3. D801930 Covenant.

Req:R314305 /Doc:DP 0012677 P /Rev:19-Feb-2019 /NSW LRS /Prt:10-Aug-2021 08:47 /Seq:1 of 4 © Office of the Registrar-General /Src:GlobalX /Ref:archnex



Bulator

carrison

Req:R314305 /Doc:DP 0012677 P /Rev:19-Feb-2019 /NSW LRS /Prt:10-Aug-2021 08:47 /Seq:2 of 4 © Office of the Registrar-General /Src:GlobalX /Ref:archnex







NEW SOUTH WALES LAND REGISTRY SERVICES - HISTORICAL SEARCH

SEARCH DATE 21/6/2023 11:44AM

FOLIO: 2/566982

First Title(s): SEE PRIOR TITLE(S) Prior Title(s): VOL 13719 FOL 180

Recorded	Number	Type of Instrument	C.T. Issue
28/3/1988		TITLE AUTOMATION PROJECT	LOT RECORDED FOLIO NOT CREATED
30/8/1988		CONVERTED TO COMPUTER FOLIO	FOLIO CREATED CT NOT ISSUED
13/7/1993	I478420	TRANSFER	EDITION 1
28/1/1994		AMENDMENT: LOCAL GOVT AREA	
13/8/1998 13/8/1998		TRANSFER MORTGAGE	EDITION 2
15/12/2003 15/12/2003	AA256484	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE MORTGAGE MORTGAGE	
15/12/2003	AA256485	MORTGAGE	EDITION 3
12/12/2007 12/12/2007	AD630078 AD630079	DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGE MORTGAGE	EDITION 4
15/9/2018	AN713171	DEPARTMENTAL DEALING	EDITION 5 CORD ISSUED

*** END OF SEARCH ***

archnex

PRINTED ON 21/6/2023

Obtained from NSW LRS on 21 June 2023 11:44 AM AEST

© Office of the Registrar-General 2023



DRIVEWAY





32 Trevenar Street Ashbury Proposed External Materials Finishes and Colours